ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

2010 JUN 18 P 2: 20

KRISTIN K. MAYES-Chairmanap COMMISSION GARY PIERCE DOCKET CONTROL PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JUN 18 2010

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY

ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS PROPERTY FOR

RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RETURN

THEREON, TO APPROVE RATES

LINE

RAIN

SULPHUR

DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR AN

ORDER INSTITUTING A MORATORIUM

ON NEW CONNECTIONS TO THE V-7

CANELO, SONOITA, AND PATAGONIA,

SPRINGS

SERVING

VALLEY,

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. E-01575A-08-0328

12

13

OF

FEEDER

WHETSTONE,

ARIZONA AREAS.

14

15

16

17

18

DOCKET NO. E-01575A-09-0453

SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY **ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S RESPONSE TO** INTERVENOR SUSAN SCOTT'S REQUEST FOR POSTPONEMENT OF **CONSIDERATION OF** RECOMMENDED OPINION AND ORDER

19

20

On May 28, 2010, the Administrative Law Judge issued a Recommended Opinion and Order ("ROO") in the above-captioned consolidated matters relating to Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s ("SSVEC" or "Cooperative") 252 Petition that requested the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") to amend Decision No. 71274 to permit SSVEC to commence construction of a new 69 kV subtransmission line ("69 kV line") to the Sonoita, Patagonia, Whetstone, Rain Valley, Elgin, and Canelo areas ("Affected Area"). The cover page to the ROO indicates that the Commission was

VALLEY

THE

ELGIN.

21 22

23

24

25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

tentatively scheduled to consider the ROO at its June 29/30, 2010, Open Meeting. Late in the afternoon on June 17, 2010, counsel for SSVEC received in the mail a copy of a letter to the Commissioners from Intervenor Susan Scott dated June 15, 2010 ("Letter"), requesting that the Commission postpone its consideration of the ROO for two weeks. The letter states that Ms. Scott is leaving on a long-planned trip and will be unable to attend the Open Meeting or participate by phone. SSVEC opposes this request for further delay for the reasons set forth below.

The ROO relates to approval of a 252 Petition filed by the Cooperative on January 14, 2010. At a Special Open Meeting of the Commission on February 3, 2010, the Commission passed a Motion to:

> [G]rant Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative's Petition to Amend Decision No. 71274 pursuant to A.R.S. §40-252 and for Related Authorization for the purposes of further consideration of whether Decision No. 71274 should be amended and the request for related authorization should be approved; and, also grant the Cooperative's request for expedited consideration of the Petition and direct the Hearing Division to conduct appropriate proceedings and prepare a recommended opinion and order for Commission consideration on an expedited basis.

Per the above Motion, an expedited procedural schedule, hearing, briefing, and ROO have all since occurred in order to fulfill the Commission's express wishes that it be able to consider the matter on an expedited basis.² Moreover, as supported by the evidence presented at the hearing and as set forth in SSVEC's Closing Brief, it is critical that SSVEC be able to complete construction of the 69 kV line and substation prior to the winter peak of 2011/2012, and delay of consideration of the ROO will further jeopardize

¹ ROO at Finding of Fact No. 29 (underlined emphasis added.)

² Notwithstanding the issuance of the ROO on May 28, 2010, because of the Commission's Open Meeting schedule, an entire month will already have elapsed by the time the Commission considers this matter on June 29/30, 2010.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the Cooperative's ability to meet this important deadline.³ In fact, Mr. Eugene Shaltz, a Director at Navigant Consulting, Inc. ("Navigant"), the project manager for the Independent Third-Party Feasibility Study, and a witness at the hearing, testified that the situation in the Affected Area "could be construed as an emergency in that there is an immediate problem that should be taken care of and it should not wait."4

Ms. Scott's letter acknowledges that she has already submitted her comments on the ROO in a joint filing with the other Intervenors. Therefore, Ms. Scott has already provided the Commission with her comments and the other Intervenors can certainly represent the Intervenors' joint position at the Open Meeting.

Additionally, SSVEC has requested that Mr. Shlatz of Navigant, attend the Open Meeting in person on June 29/30, 2010, for the convenience of the Commissioners in case they have any questions regarding the Independent Third-Party Feasibility Study, which the Commission ordered in Decision No. 71274. In order to accommodate SSVEC's request, Mr. Shlatz had to reschedule a deposition that he was scheduled to attend the week of June 27th.

Finally, SSVEC has received numerous calls from members of the public (both for and against the 69 kV line) who are interested in attending the Open Meeting and who are expecting that the ROO will be considered on June 29/30, 2010. Also, following the issuance of the ROO, on May 29, 2010, an article ran in the Sierra Vista Herald that stated that the Commission was tentatively scheduled to consider the ROO on June 29/30, 2010.

The Open Meeting is now only 11 days away. If Ms. Scott's request for a postponement was granted, the results would: (i) be contrary to the Commission's express desire that it be able to consider this important matter expeditiously, (ii) further delay of a

³ See, SSVEC Closing Brief at page 43, lines 17-19 citing to Hearing Transcript at page 484, line 24 through page 485, line 3.

⁴ See, Transcript of Hearing at page 203, line 25 through page 204, line 2.

Electric Cooperative, Inc.

1	Sandra D. Kennedy, Commissioner
2	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4	Bob Stump, Commissioner ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division
7	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
9	Wesley C. Van Cleve, Attorney Charles Haines, Attorney
10	Legal Division
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix Arizona 85007
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	COPY the foregoing sent via First Class U.S. Mail this 18th day of June, 2010, to
14	Jane L. Rodda, Administrative Law Judge
15	Hearing Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
16	400 West Congress Tucson, AZ 85701-1347
17	Susan Scott
18	P.O. Box 178 Sonoita, AZ 85637
19	Susan J. Downing
20	HC 1 Box 197 Elgin, Arizona 85611
21	James F. Rowley, III
22	HC 1 Box 259 Elgin, Arizona 85611-9712
23	
24	B. Comus B. 00
25	By Ovanisals
26	

Snell & Wilmer
LLP.
LAW OFFICES
One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(603) 392-6000